IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Mr. Sergey Kushnir,

Petitioner,

v.

JOSEPH FREDEN,

in his official capacity as Field Office Director, Buffalo Field Office, U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement;

STEVEN KURZDORFER,

In his official capacity as Acting Field Office Director, Buffalo Field Office, U.S. Immigration & Customs Enforcement;

TODD LYONS,

In his official capacity as Acting Director, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement,

KRISTI NOEM,

In her official capacity as Secretary of Homeland Security,

Respondent.

Civil Action No. 25-cv-589

VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW

Oral Argument Requested

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREL	IMINARY STATEMENT1
THE 1	PARTIES3
CUST	ODY3
JURIS	SDICTION & VENUE4
I.	SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION
II.	PERSONAL JURISDICTION
III.	VENUE 4
ARGU	J MENT 5
I.	THE PETITIONER MUST BE RELEASED UNLESS THE GOVERNMENT PROVES IT HAS THE LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO DETAIN HIM
II.	THE PETITIONER MAY NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE UNITED STATES UNLESS AND UNTIL THE GOVERNMENT PROVES IT HAS THE LAWFUL
III.	THE RESPONDENTS MUST BE PREVENTED FROM REMOVING MR. KUSHNIR OUT OF TBAHDATHIS JUDICIAL DISTRICT DURING THE PENDENCY OF THESE PROCEEDINGS 6
CLAI	MS FOR RELIEF7
GOVI	NT 1: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO PREVENT THE ERNMENT FROM REMOVING MR. KUSHNIR FROM THE UNITED STATES NIT HAS NO LEGAL AUTHORITY TO DO SO7
REGU	NT 2: MR. KUSHNIR'S CURRENT DETENTION IS IN VIOLATION OF THE JLATIONS, CONTRAVENES THE STATUTORY BASIS FOR POST-ORDER ENTION AND VIOLATES PROCEDURAL AND DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS 7
PRAY	ZER FOR RELIEF8
	FICATION BY SOMEONE ACTING ON PETITIONER'S BEHALF PURSUANT

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. Mr. Sergey Kushnir ("Mr. Kushnir") is a Ukrainian citizen who is currently being held in the custody of Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE"). Mr. Kushnir was born on October 14, 1986 in Rivne, Ukraine. He came to the U.S. at the age of seven as a refugee with his family, who faced religious persecution in Ukraine. After pleading guilty to a crime, Mr. Kushnir was deported in 2019 to Ukraine, where he was forcibly conscripted into the military and placed on the front lines digging trenches. In 2023, he came back to the U.S. border via Mexico, approached a port of entry, and asked for Temporary Protected Status (TPS). He was released from ICE custody after several weeks of detention, on a bond in the amount of \$7,500.00. As an evangelical Christian, he faces persecution in Ukraine.
- 2. Mr. Kushnir has complied with his Order of Supervision, checking in with ICE periodically since his 2023 release from custody.
- 3. On or about Sunday, June 29, 2025, Mr. Kushnir who was at his liberty and upon information and belief in full compliance with the terms of his Order Of Supervision was approached by and apprehended by ICE officers. He was detained without warning or process and ultimately taken to the Buffalo Federal Detention Facility, where he is being held by ICE.
- 4. ICE has recently taken steps to deport foreign nationals to third countries. There are potentially **four** separate unlawful and/or unconstitutional acts by the government. <u>First</u>, no reasons have been provided for revocation of Mr. Kushnir's order of supervision and counsel are aware of none justifying it rendering such a decision potentially unlawful. *See*, *e.g.* 8 C.F.R. § 241.4(4), 241.13 (setting out regulatory, required procedures for revocation of release) and *Ceesay v. Kurzdorfer*, No. 25-CV-267-LJV, 2025 WL 1284720 (W.D.N.Y. May 2, 2025) (finding no-notice revocation of release unlawful). <u>Second</u>, Mr. Kushnir has not been provided

any notification regarding potential third countries to which immigration authorities may attempt to remove him, have not provided him a mechanism to voice any fear of being removed to such a country, nor any timeline upon which he could be removed. Mr. Kushnir's removal to a third country in these circumstances was subject to a preliminary injunction ¹ which has now been stayed by the Supreme Court.² But until and unless the government makes its intentions clear, the government is preventing him from ascertaining whether he has a claim separate and apart from the stayed-*D.V.D.* class. Fourth, upon information and belief, the Department of Homeland Security does not have any reasonably foreseeable way of removing Mr. Kushnir to Ukraine: the country is in the midst of a brutal war, and there are no flights to Ukraine at this time. Likewise, upon information and belief, Mr. Kushnir poses no danger to the community. In other words, his detention at this time – after having posted a \$7,500 bond and complying with his order of supervision - is not related to any lawful detention authority.

- 5. Until and unless the government is made to provide clarity to its detention authority and intentions regarding Mr. Kushnir, he is prevented from stating with particularity the basis of his challenges to both his current detention and any attempts to remove him. But those answers are exclusively within the government's control and as such, he urgently invokes his ancient right for a writ of habeas corpus: to be brought before the Court and have this Court determine whether he is rightfully in confinement or not.
- 6. Additionally, should Mr. Kushnir be either moved out of the district or worse, out of the country pursuant to what he properly alleges is an *ultra vires* act (i.e. not based on any

D.V.D. v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec., No. CV 25-10676-BEM, 2025 WL 1142968 (D. Mass. Apr. 18, 2025), opinion clarified, No. CV 25-10676-BEM, 2025 WL 1323697 (D. Mass. May 7, 2025), and opinion clarified, No. CV 25-10676-BEM, 2025 WL 1453640 (D. Mass. May 21, 2025), reconsideration denied sub nom. D.V.D v. U.S. Dep't of Homeland Sec., No. CV 25-10676-BEM, 2025 WL 1495517 (D. Mass. May 26, 2025)

² Dep't of Homeland Sec. v. D.V.D., No. 24A1153, 2025 WL 1732103 (U.S. June 23, 2025)

facially valid order of removal) he will not be able to vindicate his rights retroactively. For that reason, he implores the court to temporarily restrain his movement out of the Western District of New York until the Court has an opportunity for full briefing.

THE PARTIES

- 7. Petitioner Mr. Sergey Kushnir, is detained in the custody of ICE. As of the filing of this petition, on July 7, 2025, he is being held at the Buffalo Federal Detention Facility in Batavia, NY. See *Exhibit A, Print-out of ICE Detainee Locator*. His custody and governmental actions related to his removal are likewise controlled by the Buffalo Field office, which is located within this judicial district.
- 8. Respondent Joseph Freden is the Buffalo, NY Field Office Director for Enforcement and Removal Operations, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement. He is the local ICE official who has authority over the Petitioner. *See Vasquez v. Reno*, 233 F,3d 688, 690 (lst Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 122 S. Ct. 43 (2001). Respondent Freden's office is at 250 Delaware Avenue, Floor 7, Buffalo, NY 14202 and/or at the Buffalo Federal Detention Facility, 4250 Federal Drive, Batavia, NY 14020.
- 9. Petitioner's custody within this judicial district and the governmental actions related to his potential removal from the district are likewise controlled by Respondents Kurzdorfer, Lyons and Noem.

CUSTODY

10. Petitioner is in the physical custody of Respondents and U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") at the Buffalo Federal Detention Facility. The Deportation Officer responsible for his case is stationed at the Buffalo Federal Detention Facility ("BFDF"). The Petitioner is under the direct care, custody and control of Respondents and their agents.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

I. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

- 11. This action arises under the Constitution of the United States, and the Immigration and Nationality Act ("INA"), 8 U.S.C. § 1101 et seq., as amended by the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 ("IIRIRA"), Pub. L. No. 104 208, 110 Stat. 1570, and the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.
- 12. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C, § 2241, Art. I, § 9, el. 2 of the Constitution of the United States (the Suspension Clause) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as Petitioner is presently in custody under color of the authority of the United States, and such custody is in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. This Court may grant relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, 5 U.S.C. § 702, the All Writs Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1651 and the Court's equitable habeas authority.

II. PERSONAL JURISDICTION

13. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Mr. Kushnir's immediate custodian (who is physically within the district).³

III. VENUE

14. Pursuant to *Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky*, 410 U.S.484, 493-500 (1973), venue lies in the United States District Court for the Western District of New York, the judicial district in which Petitioner is being detained. Petitioner is being detained at the BFDF, and his detention falls under the jurisdiction of the ICE Field Office of Buffalo, New

Petitioner asserts this Court has personal jurisdiction over the additional Respondents, however, in the interests of brevity, the Petitioner will brief this if (1) any governmental acts challenged herein are found to relate to those Respondents (instead of the immediate custodian) and (2) the Government seeks to argue against personal jurisdiction.

York, which encompasses the area where Petitioner is being detained, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

ARGUMENT

- I. THE PETITIONER MUST BE RELEASED UNLESS THE GOVERNMENT PROVES IT HAS THE LAWFUL AUTHORITY TO DETAIN HIM
- 15. The information provided by DHS thus far *suggests* that its re-arrest and continuing detention of Mr. Kushnir violate its own regulations (namely 8 C.F.R. § 241.4 and 241.13). Specifically, (1) Mr. Kushnir does not appear to have violated any of the conditions of his Order of Supervision, which was revoked without notice or reason given (2) there is no reason to believe that Mr. Kushnir, a Ukrainian refugee, "can be removed in the foreseeable future" and (3) there is no other compelling reason for the government to re-arrest and redetain him. As such, Mr. Kushnir anticipates being able to state with particularity why his current detention is unlawful and correspondingly a violation of procedural and substantive due process.
- 16. But because the rationale underpinning the government's actions is exclusively within the control of the respondents and they have not stated the justification for his arrest and detention the Petitioner must, for now, rely upon the ancient form of the writ; to have this Court (1) ascertain the legal justification for his detention and (2) determine whether it is lawful. *Dep't of Homeland Sec. v. Thuraissigiam*, 591 U.S. 103, 117 (2020) ("Habeas, [...] is the appropriate remedy to ascertain ... whether any person is rightfully in confinement or not.") (internal citations omitted).
- 17. This is true whether under this Court's statutory jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, <u>or</u> the Suspension Clause of the Constitution (should any statutory provision purport to strip jurisdiction). U.S. CONST. Art. I, § 9, cl. 2.

- 18. DHS must be forced to describe with particularity why it believes it has the legal right to detain the Petitioner. If it does not, this Court must issue the writ to release him forthwith.
 - II. THE PETITIONER MAY NOT BE REMOVED FROM THE UNITED STATES
 UNLESS AND UNTIL THE GOVERNMENT PROVES IT HAS THE LAWFUL
 RIGHT TO DO SO
- 19. Once again, the Petitioner has a right to the ancient form of the writ; to have this Court ascertain whether the Government's actions in attempting to remove him either pursuant to the 1996 in absentia order of deportation (which it was unable to execute, upon information and belief, because Petitioner is stateless) or to "another country of choice" (without even a semblance of due process and contrary to the statute and regulations regarding designations of third countries for removal) are lawful.
- 20. If the Government believes it has the right to remove Mr. Kushnir, it must state why and he will be able to respond accordingly. If it does not, it must release him as his detention has become divorced from the only possible detention authority the Government possesses over Mr. Kushnir.
- III. THE RESPONDENTS MUST BE PREVENTED FROM REMOVING MR. KUSHNIR OUT OF THIS JUDICIAL DISTRICT DURING THE PENDENCY OF THESE PROCEEDINGS
- 21. Mr. Kushnir has retained legal counsel, whose office is located in this district. In person consultation with counsel is extremely important. Mr. Kushnir's participation in these proceedings would thus become difficult and potentially impossible if he were transferred out of the jurisdiction prior this Court resolving this petition, *even if* the Court retains jurisdiction no matter where the Respondents may seek to transfer him.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT 1: PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO PREVENT THE GOVERNMENT FROM REMOVING MR. KUSHNIR FROM THE UNITED STATES WHEN IT HAS NO LEGAL AUTHORITY TO DO SO

- 22. Mr. Kushnir re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein, and does so for all additional counts.
- 23. Mr. Kushnir may not be removed to any country at this time: although he is subject to an order of deportation, deportation to Ukraine is impossible at this time due to the ongoing war, and further, he has received neither notification, nor opportunity to state a claim for fear of relief to any third country. The Government must be prevented from unlawfully detaining and removing him. *Dep't of Homeland Sec. v. Thuraissigiam*, 591 U.S. 103 117(2020) ("Habeas, [...] is the appropriate remedy to ascertain ... whether any person is rightfully in confinement or not.")(internal citations omitted).

COUNT 2: MR. KUSHNIR'S CURRENT DETENTION IS IN VIOLATION OF THE REGULATIONS, CONTRAVENES THE STATUTORY BASIS FOR POST-ORDER DETENTION AND VIOLATES PROCEDURAL AND DUE PROCESS PROTECTIONS

- 24. Mr. Kushnir re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein, and does so for all additional counts.
- 25. Since his Order of Supervision was granted, in 2023, (1) there has been no change of circumstances (2) Mr. Kushnir does not appear to have violated any of the conditions of his Order of Supervision, which was revoked without notice or reason given (3) there is no reason to believe that Mr. Kushnir "can be removed in the foreseeable future" and (4) there is no other compelling reason for the government to re-arrest and redetain him. His detention is unlawful

and violates his procedural and substantive due process rights. Dep't of Homeland Sec. v.

Thuraissigiam, 591 U.S. 103 117(2020) ("Habeas, [...] is the appropriate remedy to ascertain ...

whether any person is rightfully in confinement or not.")(internal citations omitted).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that the Court grant the following relief:

(1) Assume jurisdiction over this matter;

(2) Prevent the Petitioner's removal outside of this judicial district until this action is

decided;

(3) Issue a temporary stay of Petitioner's removal until this action is decided;

(4) Grant the Writ of Habeas Corpus and;

(5) Fashion such additional relief as is necessary and appropriate, including declaratory

relief or other interim relief necessary to vindicate Petitioners' rights under U.S. and

international law.

Dated: July 7, 2025 /s/ Matthew K. Borowski

Matthew K. Borowski Attorney for Petitioner 4343 Union Road, Buffalo NY 14225

E-mail: matthew@borowskilaw.com

Tel: 716-330-1503

VERIFICATION BY SOMEONE ACTING ON PETITIONER'S BEHALF PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2242

I am submitting this verification on behalf of the Petitioner because I am one of the Petitioner's attorneys. I hereby verify that the statements made in the attached Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Dated: July 7, 2025 /s/ Matthew K. Borowski

Matthew K Borowski

Matthew K. Borowski Attorney for Petitioner 4343 Union Road, Buffalo NY 14225

E-mail: matthew@borowskilaw.com

Tel: 716-330-1503

Official Website of the Department of Homeland Security



Report Crimes: E

Main Menu

Search Results: 1

SERGEY V KUSHNIR

Country of Birth: Ukraine A-Number: 071297170 Status: In ICE Custody

State: NY

Current Detention Facility: BUFFALO FEDERAL DETENTION FACILITY * Click on the Detention Facility name to obtain facility contact information

Related Information

BACK TO SEARCH >

Helpful Info

Status of a Case

About the Detainee Locator

Brochure

ICE ERO Field Offices

ICE Detention Facilities

Privacy Notice

External Links

Bureau of Prisons Inmate Locator

Privacy - Terms

1 of 2 7/7/2025, 4:38 PM search https://locator.ice.gov/odls/#/results

Cased 1235cc v0008899MAAV DiDocument 8111 Fifted d0 07/1/07/225 Plaggel 2 of 25



DHS.govUSA.govOIGOpenFOIAMetrics No Site Site

Gov <u>FearMapPolicies</u>

<u>Act</u>

<u>&</u>

Plug-

<u>Ins</u>

7/7/2025, 4:38 PM 2 of 2

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

Cased 1235cv 4006899VMAXV DOODment 8112 FHdd 0071/07225 Paged 4 of 25

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE PEVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE II	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Sergey Kushnir		DEFENDANTS JOSEPH FREDE KRISTI NOEM	JOSEPH FREDEN, STEVEN KURZDORFER, TODD LYONS,		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff GENESEE	County of Posidones o	f First Listed Defendant		
	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	ONLY)	
`	,		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name Matthew K. Borowski, Boro Road, Buffalo, NY 14225	e, Address, and Telephone Number) owski Witmer Immigration Lawyers, 4343	Union Attorneys (If Known) USAO WDNY			
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		
■ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	S	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	□ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Injury - □ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic		☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	DDODEDTY DICHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment		al 🗖 640 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted☐	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	TY Safety/Health	5 040 Hademark	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits☐ 190 Other Contract	□ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage		□ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 890 Other Statutory Actions□ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION	NS 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat ☐ 442 Employment Sentence	Te ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 240 Torts to Land☐ 245 Tort Product Liability☐	Accommodations	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act ☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	U 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment			Under Equal Access to Justice	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	Alien Detainee		☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes	
№ 1 Original □ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Reopened anothe	ferred from G 6 Multidistr	Magistrate	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	IV)	Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause:				
VII. REQUESTED IN	Habeas Corpus Petition CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION	N DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	,	JURY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD			
07/07/2025	/s/ Matthew Bo	rowski			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	North			DOF	
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.**Example:

 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.